## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Application #	10/581,552
Confirmation #	6221
Filing Date	March 20, 2007
First Inventor	WILSON
Art Unit	2841
Examiner	AYCHILLHUM
Docket #	P08943US00/RFH

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

The restriction requirement contained in the Office Action of February 2, 2010 is hereby <u>traversed</u>. In the Action, restriction between the method of manufacturing a product claims 1-26 and the product (itself) claims 27-43 was required. However, as the present application is a **national stage (35 USC 371) application**, the unity of invention rules of the international stage application also apply to this national stage application as set forth, for example, in MPEP §§ 801, 802, **1850**, 1893.03(d) and 1896. And it will be noted that in the international stage application of this national stage application, unity of invention of claims 1-43 was found. Therefore, this national stage application is entitled to have both categories of claims examined, so that withdrawal of the restriction requirement and examination of all claims 1-43 is solicited.

As the reply to the restriction requirement must also contain a responsive election even when the restriction requirement is traversed, applicant hereby elects apparatus claims 1-26 with the traverse as noted above.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 31, 2010

/Douglas E. Jackson/

Signed By

Name: Douglas E. Jackson

Attorney of Record

Registration No.: 28,518

STITES & HARBISON PLLC + 1199 North Fairfax St. + Suite 900 + Alexandria, VA 22314

TEL: 703-739-4900 • FAX: 703-739-9577 • CUSTOMER NO. 881